

COST EFFICIENCY VS ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Anna Lepak Universität Hamburg Seminar: Energy-Efficient Programming Wintersemester 2014/2015

TOPIC

.

.

- Cost Efficiency vs Energy Efficiency
- How much money do we have to pay to acquire an HPC platform and to maintain it
- in consideration of energy efficiency

OUTLINE

- 1. Introduction
- 2. HPC Platform
 - 1. What is it
 - 2. Why do we need such platforms?
- 3. Total Cost of Ownership
 - 1. TCO
 - 2. Lowering the cost
- 3. Power Management
- 4. Cooling
- 5. Example: Google's Data Center
- 6. Brainware
- 4. Conclusion

HPC PLATFORM

- High Performance Computing
- also called supercomputing
- . "the solution of very difficult computing intensive problems in a reasonable time with the help of the fastest computers available" [1]
- . petaflops
- mostly used in scientific areas

HPC stands for "High Performance Computing"; also called "supercomputing"

- quote: "the solution of very difficult computing intensive problems in a reasonable time with the help of the fastest computers available"
- > they can calculate really fast: calculation at the speed of a nanosecond
- Measured in FLOPS: "Floating Point Operations Per Second" ; measure for computer performance
- today: PETAFLOPS (10^15)
- Scientific areas:
- examples: medicine (epidemics, pandemics), physics, climate research

HPC PLATFORM

- simulations
- benefits society and industry
 - -> mistakes found during simulation are less costly and tragic

Not only in scientific areas, but also in modeling new cars and airplanes: less costly to simulate a new model then making new prototypes

building and maintaining an datacenter is expensive

-> engineers need to focus on cost efficiency

Total Cost of Ownership (TCO):

the money that is spend during a lifetime of a HPC platform

With higher computing power come more costs So we need cost efficiency

- . Investment costs (to acquire an HPC Platform)
 - . hardware (servers, storage, cooling systems, cabling, network, ...)
 - . software
 - . datacenter construction
- . Operational costs
 - . Energy efficiency
 - Personnel ("brainware" pays off, more to it later)
 - . Maintenance

- higher computing performance -> higher energy consumption
- energy costs have become a contributor to TCO
- Green500 list : reflects computing efficiency (not raw computing power)



- 2012: \$ 29 billion
- 2017: \$ 40 billion



Tianhe-2:

- #1 on Top500 and #49 on Green500
- investment costs: \$ 390 million
- 24 MW (with cooling) -> \$ 20 million/year
- focused on hardware, but not on software

Tianhe-2 is chinese and means: milkyway-2

- #1 on TOP500 list
- #49 on Green500 list
- Built for \$390 million by National University of Defence Technology
- 24 MW (with cooling) => \$20 million a year (\$65000 \$100000 a day)
- 34 petaflops
- World's fastest computing system, but isn't used to it's fullest capacity (lack of good software)
- => too much focus on good hardware, not software

LOWERING THE COSTS

- better planning of the whole project
- what kind of software do we need
- is the supercomputer too powerful for the problem/simulation it is designed for?
- lowering the power consumption -> lowers costs

POWER MANAGEMENT

- Local and efficient energy sources
 - solar, wind or hydroelectric energy as a viable power generation
- Better cooling
 - other, new cooling systems
 - cooling servers at other temperatures then 20°C

Local and efficient energy sources

- Renewable energy -> saves much money and is economically good
- Not always possible (good climate for datacenter <-> bad climate for renewable energy)

Better cooling:

- Use different, new cooling systems
- Most of energy is spent on cooling
- Most datacenters use cooling which requires much energy
- Free cooling?
- Raising aisle temp tp 27° C
- - usually chilly at 20°C
- BUT: no server or network equipment needs temperatures at 20°C.
- Can still run efficiently, w/o failure at 27°C
- Improves efficiency of chillers
- -> allows higher chilled water temp -> reduced runtime of chillers

POWER MANAGEMENT

Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE):

- measures how efficiently a data center uses energy
- ratio of total amount of energy used by the data center to the energy delivered to computing equipment.
 - PUE of 1.0 is ideal

PUE = Total Facility Energy IT Equipment Energy

PUE

- Measures efficiency of a data centers energy usage
- Ideal PUE: 1.0
- Average PUE 2 2.5
- Cooling energy is not IT equipment energy.
- IT Equipment Energy is only energy spent on the IT Equipment, like servers etc.

COOLING

- cooling takes much energy
- Traditional Cooling: chillers
 - cold water or liquid coolant exchange heat with the hot air
 - the hot liquid has to be cooled down to be reused -> chillers
 - removes heath via a vaporcompression



COOLING

- better way: cooling towers
- warm water from data center flows down a tower
- cools down mainly through evaporation
- cheaper than chillers (free cooling)
- in colder climates (but not too cold)



EXAMPLE: GOOGLE'S DATA CENTER

- first investment 2011: \$200 million next investment 2012: \$150 million
- use 50% less energy than average data centers
- cool their servers at 27°C
- cooling with cooling towers (or seawater)
- PUE of 1,12 across all data centers



Google data center in Hamina, Finland

Google's data center are a good example for energy efficient HPC. They are always trying new ways to make their data centers even more energy efficient.

- Investements.

- Average PUE across all data centers: 1,12
- But one data center has a PUE of 1,06 (best value)
- "Standby mode": waiting for task -> use little energy
- Buy only needed hardware
- Raising aisle temperature to 27°C
- Prevent hot air mixing with cold air
- -> curtains to seal off the cold air
- -> appropriate ducting and permanent enclosure
- Cooling system: cooling towers (or sea water)

"Brainware"

- HPC performance experts
- analyze HPC efficiency (of hardware)
- cost less than the hardware they rendered unnecessary
- Important aspect of energy efficiency

- Trained HPC specialists support performance optimization of user's codes.
- analyze efficiency of hardware and software -> make changes to make it more efficient
- Cost less then the unnecessary hardware

Assumptions:

- 7,5 € Mio for Infrastructure
- Hardware 2 Mio €
- 4 years maintenance, then new hardware
- Power consumption: 850 KW
- ISV software provided by users

	COST/YEAR	PERCENTAGE
BUILDING	300.000€	5,46 %
	2.000.000€	36,14 %
	800.000€	14,46 %
	1.563.660€	28,26 %
	0€	0,00 %
	100.000€	1,81 %
	0€	0,00 %
HPC SOFTWARE	50.000€	0,90 %
	720.000€	13,01 %
	5.533.660 €	100 %

Example from RWTH Aachen University:

Wrote an paper about Brainware and used University computing center

1. Building: 7,5 Mio €

for compute cluster, offices 25 years so 300.000€ each year

2. Hardware

2 Mio €

Maintenance: typically 10% of investment price

maintenance for 4 years

Why 4? Afterwards more advantegeous to buy new equipment with better performance

(Moore's Law)

3. Power consumption

```
will be operated 24/7
PUE 1,5
assumed power consumption of 850 KW per year
1840€ per KW/Year => 1,56 Mio € per year
```

4. Software

employ free software to a large extend calculate minor cost for compiler and tools (50000€) licenses provided by end users

5. Staff

12 full time employees running the HPC equipment and supporting users 60000€/year for 1 FTE

- It takes 2 months to tune one project
- An expert can handle 5 projects per year
- HPC experts can improve the performance of projects by 5,10 or 20 %
- . HPC performence expert can take care of 10 projects at a timeti
- First take care of the "hot spots" (top projects in order of CPU usage)





"Brainware for Green HPC" ; Christian Bischof, Dieter an Mey, Christian Iwainsky

- Example:
- . 1,5 FTE
- take care of 15 projects
- 50 % of CPU usage
- 10% performance improvement
- => 0,1 * 0,5 * 5,5 Mio € 1,5 * 60000 € = 185000 € Savings

- . Example:
 - . 3 FTE
 - . 30 projects
 - 60 % CPU usage
 - 0,2 * 0,6 * 5,5 Mio € 3 * 60000 € = 480000 € Savings
 - Brainware pays off

CONCLUSION

- higher computation power -> higher energy consumption > higher costs
- good planning needed
- different methods to lower the TCO
 - but: making an HPC more energy efficient, makes ist also more cost-efficient

REFERENCES

- [1] "Advances In Computers: Green and Sustainable Computing Part II"; Ali Hurson; 2013
- [2] "The Datacenter as a Computer"
- [3] "Brainware for Green HPC"; Christian Bischof, Dieter an Mey, Christian Iwainsky; Springer Verlag; 2011
 - [4] "Cost Model for Planning, Development and Operation of an Data Center": http://www.hpl.hp.com/techreports/2005/HPL-2005-107R1.pdf
 - [6] "Google data center in Hamina": http://www.google.com/about/datacenters/inside/locations/hamina/
 - [7] "Google data centers; Efficiency: How we do it" <u>http://www.google.com/about/datacenters/efficiency/internal/#water-and-cooling</u>

REFERENCES

- http://www.green500.org/news/green500-list-june-2014
- "Chinas Supercomputing Strategy called out" http://www.hpcwire.com/2014/07/17/dd/
- "World's fastest computer Tianhe-2, might get very little use" <u>http://www.scmp.com/news/china/article/1264529/worlds-fastest-computer-tianhe-2-might-get-very-little-use</u>
- "Determining Total Cost of Ownership for Data Center and Network Room Infrastructure" http://www.linuxlabs.com/PDF/Data%20Center%20Cost%20of%20Ownership.pdf
- "Tianhe-2" http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tianhe-2
- HPC Industry Dynamics http://www.intersect360.com/industry/reports.php?id=95