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Abstract

Today’s high performance computing produces large amounts of data for scientific research in different areas. To handle such amounts of data different solutions exists in the HPC (high performance computing) field.

In the past, most storage solutions lacked the ability to add own user defined metadata to the files. To accommodate this storage frameworks developed SDDF (self describing data format) standards which stores the metadata alongside with the object data. While SDDF provide a solution for storing metadata, it does not solve the issue of searching in large datasets. A search would have to read every file for its metadata information, instead of searching in a centralized database.

This thesis provides a concept and implementation for a flexible structured metadata management based on the JULEA storage framework.
1. Introduction

In this chapter, a brief introduction is given. The motivation and the goals of this thesis are discussed. Also, other solutions in the research field of metadata management in high performance storage environments are pointed out.

1.1. Motivation

In recent years, the demand for high throughput and capacity storage system has increased drastically. The reason for this development is the increased processing power due to Moores-Law and the more common usage of hpc in scientific research.

One major contributor to these big data amounts is the weather and climate research as seen in Figure 1.1). It is foreseeable that this will increase even more in the upcoming years.
One problem of this expansion is the increasing complexity for organizing and searching those big data storages. Most filesystems don’t have a way of managing user defined metadata to make those data sources efficiently searchable.

Another big problem is that metadata operations usually tend to be slow and with the increasing size of clusters result into a bottleneck for the storage performance.

Although there exists abstraction software libraries that provide the user with a way to add metadata to their data, the problem of making them easily searchable is not solved.

This thesis will make a contribution to solve the problem of a searchable metadata storage for those scientific data. Other approaches in this field are discussed in Section 1.2.

The metadata will be stored by extending the JULEA storage framework (Section 2.3) with a module for searchable metadata and a reference implementation with SQLite3. The objective of this approach is to provide a swift and accessible way to search a tremendous data source.

At first I will describe what other solutions are to undertake the given problem with metadata management. After that, a brief introduction of mandatory definitions are given to better understand the design and implementation of this work. Later I will evaluate the performance of the reference implementation and give an outlook of future improvements that can be made to this approach.

### 1.2. Related Work

Managing filesystem and user-defined metadata in a parallel file system is a difficult task. There exists different approaches to make metadata operations more scalable in this environment.

#### 1.2.1. Lustre

Lustre uses a centralized concept of metadata [Bra19, pp 72]. The metadata servers handle the workload of creating files, directories, symlinks and other known operations from local file systems.

Lustre offers to load-balance between multiple metadata servers to allow scalability in high traffic storage environments. The metadata in Lustre also contain information of
the stripe size across multiple object storage servers. This design makes it mandatory to always contact the metadata server prior to opening, writing or reading a file.

A Lustre filesystem cluster consists of three server types as shown in Figure 1.2:

- Management Server (MGS)
- Metadata Server (MDS)
- Object Storage Server (OSS)

**Management Server**

The MGS stores the necessary information of the cluster state in its Management Target (MGT). This information contains all active Lustre server, clients and configuration [lus17].
Metadata Server

The MDS is responsible for providing the file system namespace. It also stores the inodes of the filesystem onto its Metadata Storage Target (MDT) [lus17].

Object Storage Server

The OSS provides the data storage of the Lustre Cluster. It can manage numerous Object Storage Targets (OST). Files can be striped across multiple OST to achieve very high throughput rates [lus17].

1.2.2. Ceph and Rados

Rados is an object store (Section ??) that tries to eliminate bottlenecks by a highly distributed concept [WBM+06]. It also reduces the metadata load by making the clients calculate, with cluster wide known devices mappings and seeds for random number generators, the location of objects.

In contrast to Lustre, Ceph allows to add additional storage nodes into the cluster and it handles the redistribution of the objects to the new storage devices.

An example architecture of a Rados cluster is presented in Figure 1.3. There has to be an uneven number of Monitoring nodes (MON) in the cluster. This is due to the fact, that decisions in the cluster are made with on a quorum base, to ensure there exists only one consistent state of the cluster.

The object storage nodes can have multiple Object Storage Devices (OSD).

Ceph/CephFS is a POSIX compatible filesystem based on the Rados object store. Ceph treats the mandatory metadata for the hierarchically concept described in Section 2.1.1 as
a serialized object in rados itself. The metadata is then managed by a Metadata Server (MDS) as shown in Figure 1.3.

Rados relies on the user to provide a way to add metadata as described in Section 2.2.2.

1.2.3. LWFS

The Lightweight File System (LWFS) takes the metadata reduction to a bare minimum [OWR+06]. LWFS evaluated a different procedure to tackle the bottlenecks of a PFS by inverting the client/server behavior. This conveys into the IO server being in the role of pulling the data from the client for writes and push the data to the clients for reads. One of the few metadata information in LWFS are authorization and authentication. In contrast to Ceph and Lustre these metadata operations are processed at the storage node itself. This design eliminates the bottleneck of a centralized, load-balanced or distributed metadata management.

Since LWFS does not provide user defined metadata at all its up to the user to provide an own metadata source or make use of libraries like HDF5 in Section 1.2.5

1.2.4. SoMeta

 Scalable Object-centric Metadata Management for High Performance Computing (SoMeta) is aimed to extend existing HPC storage solutions like Lustre, LWFS and Rados with a tag based metadata management [TBD+17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metadata Object</th>
<th>Pre-defined Tag</th>
<th>User-defined Tag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Object ID</td>
<td>(UserTag1, Value1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data Object Location</td>
<td>(UserTag2, Value2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Info</td>
<td>(UserTag3, Value3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID Attributes</td>
<td>- Name</td>
<td>- Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- AppName</td>
<td>- TimeStep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.4.: SoMeta metadata object structure [TBD+17]

SoMeta stores with every metadata object pre-defined tags like its ID and system information as shown in figure 1.4 The user is able to add own tags to these metadata objects as key-value pairs. These pairs can be updated, deleted and created dynamically.

SoMeta manages the metadata in memory to provide good performance on all operations and checkpoints it to persistent storage on given time intervals.
In contrast to the approach of this thesis, SoMeta uses a flat namespace.

### 1.2.5. HDF5

The Hierarchical Data Format (HDF5) is among other similar file formats commonly used in scientific research applications [FHK+11].

HDF5 allows the user to specify groups that can contain other groups, datasets or attributes. The hierarchy of HDF5 is like the directory tree in a POSIX filesystem.

In Figure 1.5 an example group tree is shown for an application that writes its data as checkpoints. Each checkpoint can be annotated with attributes like configuration of the application or other metadata useable to either parse the data or continue from the checkpoint.

**Figure 1.5.:** HDF5 group structure on a checkpoint example

---

**HDF5 file format**

The file format of HDF5 consists of a superblock like header with general management information like allocated space, group root entry and pointer to several other information objects.
The HDF5 file consists of numerous HDF5 objects, each with their own header and data information as seen in Figure 1.6. The header describes how to interpret data information and additional metadata or pointers to them, either to describe or annotate the object.

The metadata is saved as attribute objects. Attributes are built from:

- A name that is unique within the described object

- The type of data of this attribute for example:
  - Integer
  - Float
  - Date/time
  - String
  - ...

- The dimension of the data and the size of each dimension. This can be used to store small vectors and matrices into the metadata header. For example, a copy of the data with a reduced resolution to create a preview of the data.

- And the data itself.

Since the attributes are saved into the header of the object itself the size of the attributes had to be below 64KB until version 1.8.x of HDF5. With version 1.8.x HDF5 introduced a feature of dense attribute storage. It allows, if a given size threshold is reached, to move the attributes to another location and leaves a reference in the object header instead of the attributes. This behavior is handled automatically by the HDF5 library and can be fine tuned by the user.
2. Background

In this chapter, a definition of filesystems and metadata is given. The focus lies on the difference between metadata produced and needed by the filesystem and user generated metadata. It also gives a brief understanding what the JULEA storage framework is.

2.1. Filesystem

A filesystem organizes files that contain data and have specific metadata. The data of a file can be of any type e.g. text, machine code, image. The filesystem specific metadata in most cases contain information like access rights of users, timestamps of creation and last modification and the size of the data. (see 2.2.1)

The data and metadata of a filesystem gets usually stored in a block storage device. Therefore, the data gets split into blocks according the block size of the storage device. A filesystem may use approaches like extents to efficiently and dynamically store which blocks belong to which file [TB15, pp 284] [ext19a].

Most filesystems provide a way to organize files in a hierarchical manner in so-called directories. The file specific metadata gets stored in information nodes or short inodes, that get stored in a centralized data structure somewhere on the block device [ext19b].

2.1.1. Local Filesystem

A local filesystem is mainly used on single block devices. In some use cases, volume group managers can be used to either group multiple storage devices into one big block device or create redundancy to accommodate hardware failure.

The filesystem has access to every data and metadata block at any given time implied there is no hardware failure preventing access to some blocks of the storage device. Depending on the used storage technology, mechanical disk drives or flash based chips, the access to an specific file has certain latencies ranging from sub milliseconds to 20 ms.
One key property of the local filesystem is that in general it only has one client at a time. In most cases, this client is the operating system kernel which provides an interface for user programs to access the filesystem.

2.1.2. Object Store

Object stores provide a way to access block storage devices as data objects instead of blocks. An object usually consists of the data itself and in some cases a variable amount of metadata. The object gets usually addressed with a UUID (universally unique identifier).

In contrast to a filesystem and local filesystem, an object store does not have a hierarchy and thus requires less management overhead on the running machine [MGR03]. Object stores are used in some cases as an abstraction layer for other filesystems or they get directly accessed from an application.

2.2. Metadata

Metadata provides information about certain aspects of data and describes the content and structure of them [BS94]. Metadata is a loose term since depending on the perspective of the context, it is needed and/or created for different reasons. The main advantage of having good and structured metadata is being able to know what content is in the associated data and to search for specific content.

Outside of scientific research companies invest large sums of money and time into tuning their metadata creation and evaluation. Without good searchable metadata services like web search engines, music catalogues or inventory control systems it would be very inefficient to search for something in them.

For a better distinction, this thesis will differentiate between metadata created and used for the management of a filesystem itself and user provided metadata in form of key-value pairs.
2.2.1. Filesystem Metadata

Metadata of the filesystem usually contains information about [TB15, pp 271] [ext19b]:

- the file name which is used to access
- the owner of a file
- access rights for the users, who should be allowed to read or write
- temporal aspects like, file creation date, modification date and last access time
- location of the data and its size

This metadata is usually stored in a centralized metadata database, which gets stored like a file itself onto the underlying block storage.

In most cases, the filesystem does not have information of the stored data itself. Although some operating systems may implement some sort of full text indexing on top of the filesystem to speed up certain search operations of the user.

If, for example, one wants to search for specific keywords in a directory with text files, the application needs to open and read all files to search for those keywords.

2.2.2. User Metadata

User metadata describes information given by the user/creator of a file. The information provided by this metadata varies heavily depending on the type of data it describes.

Since there is no actual limit for the user metadata, it is difficult for a filesystem or other metadata storage databases to come up with a way to search it efficiently. Scientific storage frameworks like HDF5 and ADIOS provide the standard of SDDF (self describing data format). (see Section 1.2.5) These libraries provide for the user a tool to define metadata for describing the structure of their data.
2.3. JULEA

JULEA is an adjustable storage framework. It provides three contrasting abstracted backend types to interact with it. JULEA can be considered a distributed parallel filesystem since one of its basic design principles is a client-server architecture.

One of the design goals of JULEA is to be able to create rAPId prototypes of new object- or key-value stores in the storage research field. It is also considered to be a learning tool for students new to this subject.

This is achieved by a polymorphic design pattern known as in OOP (Object Oriented Programming) [Mey88, pp. 467]. JULEA defines an abstracted API for its backend types. It then offers multiple interchangeable backend implementations for each backend type. On runtime, JULEA loads the specified implementation of that API as a library for example the MongoDB interface for a key-value store (see Fig. 2.1)

Also, a major contributor to this goal is that JULEA is completely written in the user space. This makes it easy for beginners to write and debug code for the JULEA codebase without knowledge of kernel space development.

![Figure 2.1.: Architecture of Julea with different application configurations [Kuh17]](image-url)
2.3.1. Client-Server Model

JULEA differentiates between client and server backends:

Client backends are communicating directly with a server running the application itself like MongoDB. In the upper right of figure 2.1, it can be seen an active server handling a MongoDB daemon while the client is communicating straight with the daemon.

Server backends are provided by using the included julea-server application which runs a daemon on the server to provide a network socket for a JULEA client application. This daemon behavior can be seen in the bottom of figure 2.1 for the key-value store backend leveldb and the object-store backend posix.

For the communication between a JULEA client application and a julea-server daemon, the internal JMessage abstraction is used. This abstraction allows to have interchangeable network implementations or even integrate another network abstraction library.

2.3.2. Object-Store Backend

The object-store backend uses a OOP centralized design of a data structure with for the user hidden fields. The reference of this structure is then used for all further function calls to manipulate the object. The API of the object-store backend consists of the following function calls:

```c
1 void j_object_create (JObject* object, JBatch* batch)
2 void j_object_delete (JObject* object, JBatch* batch)
3 void j_object_read (JObject* object, gpointer data, guint64 length, guint64 offset, guint64* bytes_read, JBatch* batch)
4 void j_object_write (JObject* object, gconstpointer data, guint64 length, guint64 offset, guint64* bytes_written, JBatch* batch)
5 void j_object_status (JObject* object, gint64* modification_time, guint64* size, JBatch* batch)
```

Listing 2.1: JULEA object-store backend API
The create function is by design mandatory to be called before writing to an object, even though a backend implementation may ignore this call, some do need to setup an object first before a write can occur. The behavior if an object gets deleted and a client tries to write or read from it, is as of now undefined and should be avoided.

The read and write operations provide an interface similar to common Input/Output libraries like POSIX, with the exception that every function of the object backend is non-blocking and will be scheduled within a batch data structure.

Line 5 of listing 2.1 can be used to retrieve basic metadata of the object like modification time and size.

### 2.3.3. key-value store backend

The key-value store backend can be used to store arbitrary bson tree metadata or data into a KVS (key-value store). It is considered to be used to save small amounts of data since the object-store backend already provides an efficient interface to operate on large datasets.

The KVS backend provides just three function calls to operate on key-value pairs:

```c
1 void j_kv_put (JKV* kv, bson_t* value, JBatch* batch)
2 void j_kv_get (JKV* kv, bson_t* value, JBatch* batch)
3 void j_kv_delete (JKV* object, JBatch* batch)
```

Listing 2.2: JULEA key-value store backend API

The put operation will either create the new key and save its value or updates the value of the specified key. The KVS backend does not allow partial updates of the value of a key. If a key does not exist the get function gives back an empty bson tree.

The KVS backend also provides a way to iterate over all keys in a given namespace or all keys with a specific prefix in that said namespace.
3. Procedure

In this chapter, the design process of the structured metadata interface of JULEA and its reference implementation with the help of SQLite3 are described.

3.1. Design

Since JULEA uses many aspects of OOP (object oriented programming) like the polymorphic and context sensitive objects [Mey88, pp. 467], UML (unified modeling language) is chosen to create a model of the new SMD (Structured MetaData) interface [Boo05]. Before going deeper into the actual interface design, I will describe the basic concept that the SMD interface will follow.

3.1.1. Concept

The SMD backend operates on namespaces. For each namespace, the user has to provide a scheme declaration. This declaration is mandatory to ensure that the used underlying database engine can optimize inserts, gets and searches on that namespace.

The workflow is outlined in figure 3.1. The application provides one or more namespace schemes and then can perform metadata operations on that namespace.

Figure 3.1.: Structured Metadata Concept
3.1.2. Application Programming Interface

The API (Application Programming Interface) of SMD continues the OOP approach of JULEA’s interfaces. Therefore, three new classes which will later be used to interact with the SMD backend are introduced.

Namespace Scheme

A JSMD_Scheme object consists of its namespace name and a scheme definition with the help of a bson tree. As shown in figure 3.2, the user can differentiate between two sets of methods:

add_field and get_field are used to manipulate the scheme definition. Those methods ensure that only valid JSMD_Types are used as field types for the scheme and that there are no duplicate fields in the definition.

apply, get and delete prepare the JOperations and other requirements of the batch system of JULEA and do the memory management necessary to complete the given operation.
The metadata object class holds three data fields, hidden from the client, to manage its state. The key is a unique identifier in the namespace the object operates on. It also keeps a reference to the scheme object. The metadata values are saved in a bson tree data structure.

**JSMD** offers overloaded methods to either set or get metadata fields in its internal bson tree. The `set_field` and `get_field` operations should also validate with the help of the scheme reference if a given field name exists and the correct **JSMD** Type is used.

The `get_field` method also provides a call by reference parameter which indicates if the operation succeeded. If a field does not exist in the scheme or the bson tree, if a reference is provided, the `get_field` method will write false into the boolean reference.

The last four methods of **JSMD** manage the insertion of the appropriate JOperations into the given batch data structure and also do the mandatory memory management of it.

---

**Figure 3.3.: UML class diagram for metadata object API**

The metadata object class holds three data fields, hidden from the client, to manage its state. The key is a unique identifier in the namespace the object operates on. It also keeps a reference to the scheme object. The metadata values are saved in a bson tree data structure.

**JSMD** offers overloaded methods to either set or get metadata fields in its internal bson tree. The `set_field` and `get_field` operations should also validate with the help of the scheme reference if a given field name exists and the correct **JSMD** Type is used.

The `get_field` method also provides a call by reference parameter which indicates if the operation succeeded. If a field does not exist in the scheme or the bson tree, if a reference is provided, the `get_field` method will write false into the boolean reference.

The last four methods of **JSMD** manage the insertion of the appropriate JOperations into the given batch data structure and also do the mandatory memory management of it.
In figure 3.4 is the proposed search API design for the SMD client API.

The search context consists of a collection of 3-tuple out of `field_name`, `comparator` and `value` which will be, as most of its other complex data structures, be organized in a bson tree. The `set_field` operation checks if for the given `field_name` exists an entry in the scheme and the correct type is used. It also needs to be checked if the type of the field is searchable at all, for example arrays and binary data cannot be filtered by most backends.

After the search has been executed by the batch system of JULEA the search API offers two ways of getting access to the data:

The iterate operation in combination of `getSMD` can be used in a loop to access all the data returned from the backend for the given search result.

The search context also allows to get the results as a `GList` of metadata objects.
The **SMD_backend** class defines the set of operations a backend must offer for the SMD client and server API to be functional.

The Operation **apply_scheme** is used to allow the backend to setup necessary data structures in its used technology. The backend is also required to persistently save this information so a following call to **get_scheme** returns the bson tree scheme information. If a namespace is requested to be deleted it should no longer return a valid result on the call of **get_scheme**. However it is the freedom of the backend how to handle the deletion of the metadata objects by either deleting and cleaning it up or just marking it as deleted for future overwrites.

The **insert** operation is required to ensure, that the given bson tree metadata object only contains valid field names and values for the given namespace. If the given key already exists in the namespace, **insert** should do nothing and return false, to inform the client API that a metadata object with that key is already present.

**update** should behave just like **insert**, with the exception, if a key already exists, the backend should use an appropriate and efficient way to set the changed values for the metadata object in its storage. If a given key does not exists, **update** should insert the provided metadata object and return true on completion.

On deletion of a metadata object with the **delete** operation, the **get** function should
no longer return a valid metadata object for the deleted key. As with the deletion of namespaces, the backend can decide how to handle the deletion process.

`get_all_namespaces` should return all non-deleted active namespaces, that are managed by this backend. In a distributed environment the backend only needs to return the namespaces it is managing and its up to the client API to request the other metadata server for their namespaces.

The search operation should operate as described in section 3.1.2 and figure 3.4.

### 3.1.4. Network Messages

![Figure 3.6.: Simple network communication of the SMD component](image)

Figure 3.6 shows how an interaction between a client and an SMD metadata server should be implemented.

It is mandatory, that the client has to apply a scheme for a namespace before inserting metadata objects. This is due to the fact, that most searchable databases need to setup
structures for their self management, also it is needed for the validation of metadata objects before inserting them.

However it is not necessary to execute the batch before scheduling inserts, but the client application has to ensure, if it is executed in a parallel environment with multiple clients, that the apply scheme message for that namespace arrives the metadata server before any inserts, otherwise the inserts, updates will fail.

### 3.2. Implementation

The implementation consists of four changes that need to be done to the JULEA source code.

- Definition of the api of figure 3.5 as a new backend type
- Writing the reference implementation with SQLite3
- Writing the client operations of section 3.1.2
- Writing the server operations of section 3.1.2

#### 3.2.1. SMD types

```c
1 JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE(JSMD_TYPE_INVALID_BSON, "ERROR: type of bson value must be of STRING or INTEGER")
2 JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE(JSMD_TYPE_UNKNOWN, "ERROR: unknown type in bson value")
3 JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE(JSMD_TYPE_INTEGER, "integer")
4 [...]
5 JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE(JSMD_TYPE_UNSIGNED_INTEGER, "unsigned integer")
6 [...]
7 JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE(JSMD_TYPE_FLOAT, "float")
8 [...]
9 JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE(JSMD_TYPE_TEXT, "text")
10 JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE(JSMD_TYPE_DATE_TIME, "date time")
```

Listing 3.1: SMD types for usage in scheme definitions
Listing 3.1 describes how SMD handles metadata types. This is necessary to have a consistent representation of the numerous types available throughout the JULEA project.

`JSMD_REGISTER_TYPE` is a macro used to create an enumeration in C and a matching string array.

### 3.2.2. Reference Backend With SQLite3

SQLite3 is chosen for the reference implementation of a SMD backend. Since SQLite3 is already used as a KV backend it will not add much complexity to JULEA’s building process. Another advantage is that using SQLite3 is straightforward, since it requires no upfront configuration from the user and therefore is very good to validate the API design.

To use the full potential of a relational database (RDB) the bson tree used in the API of SMD needs to be converted into SQL statements. This is done by implementing a basic query building function that iterates over the trees.

As an example, the functional principle of the `apply_scheme` and `insert` operations of the SMD backend will be explained.

**Apply Scheme**

![Create Table Builder Diagram](image)

Figure 3.7.: create table builder

Figure 3.7 shows the used algorithm for building the SQL statement of applying a namespace scheme. It consists of the static fragment `CREATE TABLE` in combination of the `namespace-name`.
It then proceeds to iterate over the whole bson tree. For every bson tree leaf it inserts the **field-name** and the **JSMD_TYPE**. The builder operates on a closest match principle for the requested type and if no matching SQLite33 is present falls back to saving it as binary into the database.

The built SQL statement will be used to create the table. If the execution of it was successful it proceeds to save the bson tree as a blob into the SMD management lookup table. The management lookup table is used if a client request the scheme of a namespace.

### Insert metadata object

![Diagram of insert builder](image)

Preparing a SQL statement to insert into a namespace is, as can be seen in figure 3.8, already more complex than creating the namespace table structure.

The first loop inserts the field names into the query and checks if they exist in the namespace scheme definition. If the above succeeded it continues by comparing the type of the values in the metadata object with the type declarations in the scheme and inserts them into the query string.

The query gets executed by the SQLite3 engine and the return values are checked. If for example the key was already present in the namespace it sets the return value of the insert...
to false as described in section 3.1.3.
4. Evaluation

In this chapter, I will introduce a way to measure the performance of the newly implemented SMD client, server and backend. I then proceed to compare the null and sqlite backends of the smd backend type and kv backend type to get an understanding of the performance and overhead of SMD.

4.1. Benchmarking

JULEA already provides a framework for measuring operations per second and throughput of data. The JULEA benchmark suite extended for all major operations of the namespace scheme and metadata object API.

- namespace API
  - apply
  - delete
  - get

- metadata object API
  - insert
  - update
  - delete
  - get
4.1.1. Setup

The following benchmark runs are done with a Lenovo Thinkpad T480 with an intel i5-8250U processor and 8GB of DDR4 memory in single channel mode. Further hardware details, such as applied CPU microcode patches, can be found in Appendix A.

To ensure a consistent performance, the CPU dynamic frequency scaling is disabled and fixed at the maximum frequency of 3.4GHz. To further reduce fluctuations of the processor, all powerlimits, which are transparent to the linux kernel, are set to their maximum.

The used Linux kernel version is 5.1.2 as compiled by the arch linux distribution.

```
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpu*/cpufreq/scaling_governor
   ↪ <<< "performance"
sudo tee /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpu*/cpufreq/scaling_*_freq
   ↪ <<< "3400000"
sudo tee /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpu*/cpufreq/
   ↪ energy_performance_preference <<< "performance"

# Set Timings
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:1/
   ↪ constraint_1_time_window_us <<< "10000"
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/
   ↪ constraint_1_time_window_us <<< "10000"
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:1/
   ↪ constraint_0_time_window_us <<< "1000000"
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/
   ↪ constraint_0_time_window_us <<< "1000000"
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/
   ↪ intel-rapl:0:0/constraint_0_time_window_us <<< "5000"
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/
   ↪ intel-rapl:0:1/constraint_0_time_window_us <<< "5000"
sudo tee
   ↪ /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/
   ↪ intel-rapl:0:2/constraint_0_time_window_us <<< "5000"
```
WATT=50

# convert watt to microwatt
UW=($WATT*1000*1000)

# Power limit of the whole CPU
PACKAGE=$UW

# Power limit of the CPU cores
CORE=$UW

# Power limit of CPU cache, MMU
UNCORE=$UW

# Power limit of the memory
DRAM=$UW

# Write power limits to the exported intel rapl registers
sudo tee /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:1/ constraint_1_power_limit_uw <<< $PACKAGE
sudo tee /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/ constraint_1_power_limit_uw <<< $PACKAGE
sudo tee /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:1/ constraint_0_power_limit_uw <<< $UW
sudo tee /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/ constraint_0_power_limit_uw <<< $UW
sudo tee /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/ intel-rapl:0:0/constraint_0_power_limit_uw <<< $CORE
sudo tee /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/ intel-rapl:0:1/constraint_0_power_limit_uw <<< $UNCORE
sudo tee /sys/devices/virtual/powercap/intel-rapl/intel-rapl:0/ intel-rapl:0:2/constraint_0_power_limit_uw <<< $DRAM
4.1.2. Results

The benchmark was run three times and the average of all runs are taken for the evaluation. The raw benchmark data can be seen in section B.3. The runs were scheduled with a shell script, that also generated the averages and is listed in section B.1 The visualization was done in gnuplot with the scripts found in section B.4

Figure 4.1.: Benchmark null smd backend

Figure 4.1 shows the upper bound for the operations per second of the smd backend. The client and server were run on the same machine. In figure 4.2 the achieved operations per second of the SQLite3 reference implementation are plotted.

Figure 4.2.: Benchmark sqlite smd backend

The namespace apply and delete operations perform the worst in the SQLite3 backend. A
possible reason for this behavior is, that on creation of a new table SQLite3 has to grow the database file and preallocate storage for the table structure and its data. In contrast to apply scheme, the insert operation with 8500 OP/s performs pretty decent. Since its more common in a database to insert, update, delete or get table entries than creating new tables, it is not surprising that SQLite3 tradeoffs create table performance in favor of managing table entries.

Compared to the kv SQLite3 backend in figure 4.3, the overhead of the query builder used by the SQLite3 backend, seems to be much less than expected, with only a difference of 1500 OP/s (smd- insert / kv-put).

Figure 4.3.: Benchmark sqlite kv backend
4.1.3. API Overhead Evaluation

Figure 4.4 shows the difference of elapsed benchmark time between the SQLite3 (blue) and NULL backend (red). The measurement includes the time spend in the client and server API, since the call in the null backend also go through the entire network stack of JULEA.

The insert, update and delete operations spend nearly 30% of their time in functions responsible for the communication between client and server. This performance loss is expected, because of the polymorphic design we need additional memory management for the wrapping data structs. Another aspect is that we need to package the client data into a JMessage and extract it at the server. A SQL database which already comes with a optimized server daemon might lower the performance loss, because less time is spend in JULEA’s own wrapping libraries.
5. Summary, Conclusion, Future Work

In this chapter, a summary of the thesis and its outcome will be given. Also an outlook is presented to what needs to be done next to further increase the value of the newly introduced SMD backend.

5.1. Summary

This thesis introduced a new concept of storing user defined metadata for HPC storage applications. In contrast to other solutions, like the ones mentioned in Section 1.2, the SMD backend for JULEA uses a polymorphic design, instead of a fixed database setup.

The interface of the SMD backend was designed with the help of concepts from OOP (object oriented programming) and UML (unified modelling language) to reduce the possibility of API/ABI breaks in future iterations.

Section 3.2 implemented the concept and design of Section 3.1. To test its applicability a reference implementation of a SMD backend was developed with the help of SQLite3. A set of automated test cases were built to help others implement their own backends and ensure they follow the API design of SMD.

Chapter 4 initiates a reproducible way to measure the performance of a given backend and further provides a testing environment to ensure the correctness of an SMD backend implementation.

5.2. Conclusion

To answer the question, if the newly designed and implemented SMD backend for JULEA, is a suitable candidate to solve the metadata problem of todays HPC storage cluster systems, further work has to be done.
The polymorphic design of JULEA allows it to adapt to new object, key-value and database technologies for its backends. This is a major benefit compared to other solutions, since with this design it is able to further increase its performance, without breaking older applications build with JULEA.

A drawback of this design is the introduced overhead of managing the JULEA objects and states. It would need further research and benchmarks to investigate if the performance loss of this approach outweighs its flexibility and practicality.

5.3. Future work

With the basic functionality set, SMD now needs a implementation of the in section 3.1 proposed search API. It will also need to be evaluated, if the API overhead found in section 4.1.3 can be reduced with more sophisticated memory management and/or algorithms.

Implementing more SMD backends with, for example PostgreSQL or MongoDB, would be beneficial. These two database technologies have builtin cluster, replication and scale options, which would be interesting to examine.

The currently builtin HDF5 connector of JULEA also needs to be extended, to use the SMD API for the attributes of HDF5. This would allow, to test the SMD API with real work application built on HDF5.

Also JULEA needs internal support for various data types, for example 128bit float values. This would ensure a consistent handling of all the data types supported by SMD throughout the project.

In summary, to fully evaluate the proposed concept and design, the above mentioned additions need to be made.
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## A. Hardware setup

### A.1. CPU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor ID</th>
<th>GenuineIntel</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU Family</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Name</td>
<td>Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-8250U CPU @ 1.60GHz</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepping</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcode</td>
<td>0x9a</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU MHz</td>
<td>3400.400</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cache Size</td>
<td>6144 KB</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical ID</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU Cores</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APIC ID</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial APIC ID</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPU</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPU Exception</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPUID Level</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flags</td>
<td>fpu vme de pse tsc msr pae mce cx8 apic sep</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mtrr pge mca cmov pat pse36 clflush dts acpi mmx fxsr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sse sse2 ss ht tm pbe syscall nx pdpe1gb rdtscp lm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>constant_tsc art arch_perfmon pebs bts rep_good nopl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>xtopology nonstop_tsc cpuid aperfmperf tsc_known_freq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pni pclmulqdq dtes64 monitor ds_cpl vmx est tm2 ssse3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sdbg fma cx16 xtpr pdcm pcid sse4_1 sse4_2 x2apic movbe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>popcnt tsc_deadline_timer aes xsave avx f16c rdrand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lahf_lm abm 3nowprefetch cpuid_fault epb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>invpcid_single pti ssbd ibrs ibpb stibp tpr_shadow vnmi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>flexpriority ept vpid ept_ad fsgsbase tsc_adjust bmi1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>avx2 smep bmi2 erms invpcid mpx rdseed adx smap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clflushopt intel_pt xsaveopt xsavec xgetbv1 xsaves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dtherm ida arat pln pts hwp hwp_notify hwp_act_window</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hwp_epp flush_l1d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19 bugs : cpu_meltdown spectre_v1 spectre_v2
   ↔ spec_store_bypass l1tf mds
20 bogomips : 3601.00
21 clflush size : 64
22 cache_alignment : 64
23 address sizes : 39 bits physical, 48 bits virtual
24 power management:

A.2. Memory

1 Handle 0x0004, DMI type 17, 40 bytes
2 Memory Device
3 Array Handle: 0x0003
4 Error Information Handle: Not Provided
5 Total Width: 64 bits
6 Data Width: 64 bits
7 Size: 8192 MB
8 Form Factor: SODIMM
9 Set: None
10 Locator: ChannelA-DIMM0
11 Bank Locator: BANK 0
12 Type: DDR4
13 Type Detail: Synchronous Unbuffered (Unregistered)
14 Speed: 2400 MT/s
15 Manufacturer: SK Hynix
16 Serial Number: 5243A758
17 Asset Tag: None
18 Part Number: HMA81GS6AFR8N-UH
19 Rank: 1
20 Configured Memory Speed: 2400 MT/s
21 Minimum Voltage: Unknown
22 Maximum Voltage: Unknown
23 Configured Voltage: 1.2 V
B. Benchmark

B.1. Benchmark script

```bash
#!/bin/bash

LC_NUMERIC=en_US.UTF-8

backend=$1
runs=3

for (( runnr=1; runnr<=runs; runnr++ ))
  do
    killall -9 julea-server
    rm -rf /tmp/julea
    ./scripts/benchmark.sh --path="/smd" --machine-readable
    → --machine-separator="", >
    → benchmark-$backend-smd-r$runnr.csv
    killall -9 julea-server
    rm -rf /tmp/julea
    ./scripts/benchmark.sh --path="/kv" --machine-readable
    → --machine-separator="", >
    → benchmark-$backend-kv-r$runnr.csv
  done

# Strip head labels from csv

for (( runnr=1; runnr<=runs; runnr++ ))
  do
    tail --lines=+2 benchmark-$backend-r$runnr.csv >
    → benchmark-$backend-smd-r$runnr.csv.headless
    tail --lines=+2 benchmark-$backend-kv-r$runnr.csv >
    → benchmark-$backend-kv-r$runnr.csv.headless
  done

# calculate averages
```
for t in "smd" "-kv"
do
    head -n 1 benchmark-${backend}${t}-r1.csv > benchmark-${backend}${t}.csv
    paste -d"," benchmark-${backend}${t}-r*.csv.headless | sed 's/ ,/ /g' | awk -v s="$runs" '{
        elapsed=0.0
        operations=0.0
        total_elapsed=0.0
        for(i=0;i<=s-1;i++)
        {
            t=2+(i*5)
            elapsed=elapsed+t
            t=3+(i*5)
            operations=operations+t
            t=5+(i*5)
            total_elapsed=total_elapsed+t
        }
        printf "%s,%.5f,%.5f,-,%.5f\n", $1,elapsed/s,operations/s,total_elapsed/s
    }' >> benchmark-${backend}${t}.csv
done
rm *.headless
## B.2. Averaged Data

### B.2.1. Null

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>0.2563977</td>
<td>39002.1005</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.471086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>0.2279673</td>
<td>43881.6333</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.441497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>0.2295313</td>
<td>43587.0994</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4626426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>0.2195773</td>
<td>45569.4266</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4460086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.2389173</td>
<td>41860.0730</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6823926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.2298313</td>
<td>43573.5345</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6824893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>1.447409</td>
<td>34555.7927</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4474833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>1.2574633</td>
<td>39785.3848</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2575277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update</td>
<td>1.1856453</td>
<td>42175.3940</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4093066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>1.1216996</td>
<td>44591.3395</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3406986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>1.1079083</td>
<td>45150.1851</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3784683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>1.11804</td>
<td>44756.9195</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3748636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>1.158027</td>
<td>43179.8667</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.407721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get-batch</td>
<td>1.1251306</td>
<td>44464.7921</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3707326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.1.: benchmark-null-smd.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>4.578028</td>
<td>43698.6944</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.663889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>4.293619</td>
<td>46585.3064</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.390669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>4.348555</td>
<td>46000.8989</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.615804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>4.174124</td>
<td>47914.6506</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.453155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>4.320072</td>
<td>46300.2476</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.320074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>4.185854</td>
<td>47780.3425</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.185856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.2.: benchmark-null-kv.csv
### B.2.2. SQLite3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>10.607793</td>
<td>943.52057466667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.874795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>10.522952</td>
<td>951.21273566667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.779554333333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>10.7559643333333</td>
<td>933.989579333333</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.268502666667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>9.994962</td>
<td>1000.511472</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.341053333333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.4974746666667</td>
<td>20104.3129526667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.035908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.48821166666667</td>
<td>20495.5332996667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.892216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>5.86054</td>
<td>8524.37698366667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.8689276666667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>6.1049193333333</td>
<td>8190.15219033333</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.107885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>19.6172156666667</td>
<td>10206.9897086667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.6172156666667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>19.0376913333333</td>
<td>10508.546577</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.0376913333333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>18.6022903333333</td>
<td>10751.91239733333</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.6022903333333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>18.2597543333333</td>
<td>10953.28102533333</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.2597543333333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>18.1438506666667</td>
<td>11024.22656166667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.1438506666667</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.3.: benchmark-sqlite-smd.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>18.1438506666667</td>
<td>11024.22656166667</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.1438506666667</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.4.: benchmark-sqlite-kv.csv
## B.3. Raw Data

### B.3.1. Null

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>0.256748</td>
<td>38948.696777</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.467246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>0.222071</td>
<td>45030.643353</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.431575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>0.223242</td>
<td>44794.438323</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.467399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>0.212639</td>
<td>47028.061644</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.436573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.240038</td>
<td>41660.070489</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.674186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.226611</td>
<td>44128.484495</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.661552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>1.422831</td>
<td>35141.207916</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.422906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>1.298634</td>
<td>38501.995173</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.298721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update</td>
<td>1.171842</td>
<td>42667.868194</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.373112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>1.112070</td>
<td>44961.198486</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.309991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>1.080540</td>
<td>46273.159716</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.334609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>1.086474</td>
<td>46020.429389</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.313459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>1.146636</td>
<td>43605.817365</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.411303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get-batch</td>
<td>1.090713</td>
<td>45841.573356</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.313161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.5.: benchmark-null-smd-r1.csv
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>0.255609</td>
<td>39122.253129</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.470536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>0.229678</td>
<td>43539.215772</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.444324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>0.230114</td>
<td>43456.721451</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.457647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>0.225713</td>
<td>44304.049833</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.453711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.235460</td>
<td>42470.058609</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.682532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.220972</td>
<td>45254.602393</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.676582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>1.435489</td>
<td>34831.336221</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.435560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>1.228401</td>
<td>40703.320821</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.228479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update</td>
<td>1.184131</td>
<td>42225.057869</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.415164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>1.101602</td>
<td>45388.443376</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.314944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>1.105458</td>
<td>45230.121814</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.415176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>1.161646</td>
<td>43042.372633</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.390571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>1.170177</td>
<td>42728.578668</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.384466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get-batch</td>
<td>1.128338</td>
<td>44312.962960</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.402603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.6.: benchmark-null-smd-r2.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>0.256836</td>
<td>38935.351742</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.475476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>0.232153</td>
<td>43075.041029</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.448592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>0.235238</td>
<td>42510.138668</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.462882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>0.220380</td>
<td>45376.168436</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.447742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.241254</td>
<td>41450.089947</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.690460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.241911</td>
<td>41337.516690</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.709334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>1.483907</td>
<td>33694.833975</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.483984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>1.245304</td>
<td>40150.838671</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.245383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update</td>
<td>1.200963</td>
<td>41633.255979</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.439635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>1.151427</td>
<td>43424.376882</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.397161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>1.137727</td>
<td>43947.273819</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.385620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>1.106000</td>
<td>45207.956600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.420561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>1.157268</td>
<td>43205.203980</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.427394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get-batch</td>
<td>1.156341</td>
<td>43239.840151</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.396434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.7.: benchmark-null-smd-r3.csv
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>4.565768</td>
<td>43804.240601</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.632799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>4.352246</td>
<td>45953.284810</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.413732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>4.343697</td>
<td>46043.727267</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.624611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>4.189317</td>
<td>47740.478937</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.510249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>4.341906</td>
<td>46062.719921</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.341908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>4.188114</td>
<td>47754.191982</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.188116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.8.: benchmark-null-kv-r1.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>4.492584</td>
<td>44517.809795</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.536265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>4.252275</td>
<td>47033.646695</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.354839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>4.278172</td>
<td>46748.938565</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.525892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>4.159291</td>
<td>48085.118353</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.380032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>4.358977</td>
<td>45882.325142</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.358979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>4.198800</td>
<td>47632.656950</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.198802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.9.: benchmark-null-kv-r2.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>4.675734</td>
<td>42774.032911</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.822605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>4.276338</td>
<td>46768.987858</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.403438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>4.423797</td>
<td>45210.031111</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.696911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>4.173766</td>
<td>47918.354790</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.469184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>4.259334</td>
<td>46955.697769</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.259336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>4.170648</td>
<td>47954.178823</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.170651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.10.: benchmark-null-kv-r3.csv
B.3.2. SQLite3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>10.378016</td>
<td>963.575312</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.439579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>10.271407</td>
<td>973.576454</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.213930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>10.040549</td>
<td>995.961476</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.429391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>9.986674</td>
<td>1001.334378</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.287342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.505772</td>
<td>19771.754862</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.994574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.505069</td>
<td>19799.274951</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.835024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>6.117117</td>
<td>8173.785134</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.119789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>5.842920</td>
<td>8557.365153</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.845774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update</td>
<td>5.767909</td>
<td>8668.652713</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.597198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>5.667443</td>
<td>8822.320754</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.528565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>4.646761</td>
<td>10760.183276</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.640267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>4.619402</td>
<td>10823.911840</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.553023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>3.237976</td>
<td>15441.745090</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.180194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get-batch</td>
<td>3.197239</td>
<td>15638.493087</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.139078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.11.: benchmark-sqlite-smd-r1.csv

B.4. GNUplot

```plaintext
1 set terminal postscript eps size 5,2.5 enhanced color font
   ⇨ 'Helvetica,14'
2 set ylabel "Operations/s"
3
4 set key autotitle columnhead
5
6 set grid ytics
7
8 set boxwidth 0.5
9 set style fill solid
10 set datafile separator "",
11
12 set xtics rotate by 30 right
13 set bmargin 10
14
15 set yrange [0:55000]
16
17 set output 'benchmark-null-smd.eps'
18
```
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>11.053893</td>
<td>904.658657</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.739416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>10.987474</td>
<td>910.127296</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.800338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>11.776398</td>
<td>849.156083</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.517244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>10.031676</td>
<td>996.842402</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.435282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.493821</td>
<td>20250.252622</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.079478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.476619</td>
<td>20981.119091</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.948414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>6.110326</td>
<td>8182.869457</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.113819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>5.942597</td>
<td>8413.829846</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.945403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update</td>
<td>5.709497</td>
<td>8757.338869</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.519333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>5.637527</td>
<td>8869.137123</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.461463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>4.521534</td>
<td>11058.193967</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.318625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>4.500330</td>
<td>11110.296356</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.300227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>3.181541</td>
<td>15715.654772</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.032426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get-batch</td>
<td>3.141848</td>
<td>15914.200814</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.975870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.12.: benchmark-sqlite-smd-r2.csv

```bash
mk_label(x)=sprintf("%.0f",x)
plot "benchmark-null-smd.csv" using 3:xticlabel(1) with boxes lt rgb "light-blue" notitle, \
"" using 0:0:(mk_label($3)) with labels rotate left offset 0,1 notitle
unset size
set terminal postscript eps size 4,2.5 enhanced color font Helvetica,14
set output 'benchmark-null-kv.eps'
plot "benchmark-null-kv.csv" using 3:xticlabel(1) with boxes lt rgb "light-blue" notitle, \
"" using 0:3:(mk_label($3)) with labels center offset 0,1 notitle
```

```
set terminal postscript eps size 5,2.5 enhanced color font Helvetica,14
set ylabel "Operations/s"
set key autotitle columnhead
set grid ytics
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply</td>
<td>10.391470</td>
<td>962.327755</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.445390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-apply-batch</td>
<td>10.309975</td>
<td>969.934457</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.324395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete</td>
<td>10.450946</td>
<td>956.851179</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.858873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-delete-batch</td>
<td>9.966536</td>
<td>1003.357636</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.300536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get</td>
<td>0.492831</td>
<td>20290.931374</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.033672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scheme-get-batch</td>
<td>0.482947</td>
<td>20706.205857</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.893210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>6.087315</td>
<td>8213.801980</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.090047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert-batch</td>
<td>5.812645</td>
<td>8601.935952</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.815606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update</td>
<td>5.733336</td>
<td>8720.926176</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.538396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>update-batch</td>
<td>5.654589</td>
<td>8842.375635</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.474996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>4.528365</td>
<td>11041.512776</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.331314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>4.505800</td>
<td>11096.808558</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.320850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>3.158773</td>
<td>15828.931044</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.975729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get-batch</td>
<td>3.116866</td>
<td>16041.754763</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.936349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.13.: benchmark-sqlite-smd-r3.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>20.574005</td>
<td>9721.004734</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40.412357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>19.495495</td>
<td>10258.780298</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.921057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>18.819501</td>
<td>10627.274336</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.875930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>18.790558</td>
<td>10643.643472</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.730118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>18.343035</td>
<td>10903.321070</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.343038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>18.398733</td>
<td>10870.313733</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.398735</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.14.: benchmark-sqlite-kv-r1.csv

```bash
8 set boxwidth 0.5
9 set style fill solid
10 set datafile separator ","
11
12 set xtics rotate by 30 right
13 set bmargin 10
14
15 set yrange [0:55000]
16
17 set output 'benchmark-sqlite-smd.ep'
18
19 mk_label(x)=sprintf("%.0f",x)
20
21 plot "benchmark-sqlite-smd.csv" using 3:xticlabel(1) with boxes lt rgb "light-blue" notitle, 
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>19.163727</td>
<td>10436.383278</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.538720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>18.867387</td>
<td>10600.301992</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.262547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>18.727022</td>
<td>10679.754635</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.638171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>18.511475</td>
<td>10804.109343</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.489996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>18.291105</td>
<td>10934.276524</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.291106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>18.091328</td>
<td>11055.020394</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.091331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.15.: benchmark-sqlite-kv-r2.csv

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Elapsed</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Bytes</th>
<th>Total Elapsed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put</td>
<td>19.113915</td>
<td>10463.581114</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.364351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>put-batch</td>
<td>18.750192</td>
<td>10666.557441</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36.993576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete</td>
<td>18.631388</td>
<td>10734.573291</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.436337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delete-batch</td>
<td>18.504838</td>
<td>10807.984377</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.284071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete</td>
<td>18.145123</td>
<td>11022.245482</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.145125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered-put-delete-batch</td>
<td>17.941491</td>
<td>11147.345558</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.941493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.16.: benchmark-sqlite-kv-r3.csv

```bash
22 ' using 0:0:(mk_label($3)) with labels rotate left offset
    \ 0,1 notitle
23 unset size
24 set terminal postscript eps size 4,2.5 enhanced color font
    \ 'Helvetica,14'
25 set output 'benchmark-sqlite-kv.eps'
26 plot "benchmark-sqlite-kv.csv" using 3:xticlabel(1) with
    \ boxes lt rgb "light-blue" notitle, \
29 ' using 0:3:(mk_label($3)) with labels center offset 0,1
    \ notitle
```
C. Network packages

![Diagram](image1)

Figure C.1.: Network package scheme apply

![Diagram](image2)

Figure C.2.: Network package scheme get

![Diagram](image3)

Figure C.3.: Network package scheme get response
Figure C.4.: Network package scheme delete

Figure C.5.: Network package metadata object insert

Figure C.6.: Network package metadata object update

Figure C.7.: Network package metadata object get

Figure C.8.: Network package metadata object get response

Figure C.9.: Network package metadata object delete
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