Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work

Optimizations for Two-Phase Collective I/O Introducing Interleaved and Pipelined Two-Phase

<u>Michael Kuhn</u>, Julian Kunkel, Yuichi Tsujita, Hidetaka Muguruma, Thomas Ludwig

> Research Group Scientific Computing Department of Informatics University of Hamburg

> > 2011-09-02

Introduction	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work

- Motivation
- Two-Phase

2 Interleaved Two-Phase

- 3 Pipelined Two-Phase
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work

Introduction Int	terleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
_00000			
Motivation			

- There are many algorithms for efficient I/O on parallel distributed file systems
 - The Two-Phase protocol is one of them
- Optimizations can be classified into two categories
 - Client-side optimizations: trying to minimize the work for the servers
 - For example, caching and batching in clients' memory
 - Two-Phase is a client-side optimization
 - Server-side optimizations: let the servers employ their own optimizations
- Two separate improvements for Two-Phase
 - Interleaved Two-Phase from the University of Hamburg
 - Pipelined Two-Phase from Kinki University

Introduction ○●○	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
Two-Phase			

- Collective I/O relates I/O operations performed by multiple clients with each other
 - Using individual I/O, they may appear in a random order
 - Clients can collaborate, allowing optimizations that would otherwise be impossible
- Two-Phase is an optimization for collective I/O
 - Implemented in ROMIO
 - Clients share information about their I/O requests
 - Separate communication and I/O phases are used to perform the actual I/O
 - Introduces additional communication overhead



Iteration 1 – I/O Phase





Iteration 2 – I/O Phase

Figure: Two-Phase for a collective read operation with two iterations

Initialization: communicate and negotiate file regions

- a Decide whether Two-Phase protocol should be used
- **b** Form a file region containing all of the clients' file regions
- c Split up file region equally into so-called file domains

Each client is then responsible for one file domain



Figure: Two-Phase for a collective read operation with two iterations

I/O phase: clients read data

Iteration 1 - Comm. Phase

C2

2.

Only data within own file domains is accessed

C3

Size is limited by an internal buffer used for collective I/O

4.

C2

Iteration 2 - Comm. Phase

The buffer size currently defaults to 16 MiB



Figure: Two-Phase for a collective read operation with two iterations

2 Communication phase: data is forwarded to the appropriate clients

Iteration 1 - Comm. Phase

Any client may need to communicate with all other clients

Iteration 2 - Comm. Phase





Figure: Two-Phase for a collective read operation with two iterations

- The two phases are repeated until the I/O is completed
- For writing, the order of the phases is reversed
 - Additionally, read-modify-write may be necessary

Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work

- 2 Interleaved Two-Phase
 - Design
 - Evaluation
- 3 Pipelined Two-Phase
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work

	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
Design			

- File domains lead to non-contiguous accesses
- May have a negative effect on performance
- Due to striping schemes, the mapping to the servers may be suboptimal



Figure: Suboptimal Two-Phase mapping



Figure: Interleaved Two-Phase access pattern with two I/O iterations

- Accesses within each I/O iteration are contiguous
- File region is divided into chunks of the size of the Two-Phase buffer
- Chunks are accessed by the clients in a round-robin fashion

	Interleaved Two-Phase ○○●○	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
Evaluation			

- Used PIOsimHD simulator
 - Fast prototyping and evaluation of new ideas
- Ten clients and ten servers
- Test uses collective I/O operations
- File is 1.000 MiB in size
 - Divided into data blocks of equal size
 - Clients access data blocks using a round-robin scheme
- One collective read/write per client
 - Accesses are interleaved

	Interleaved Two-Phase ○○○●	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
Evaluation			



Figure: Interleaved Two-Phase comparison

- Maximum throughput is 500 MiB/s
- Write faster than read, because of write-behind
 - Allows overlapping Two-Phase communication with actual I/O on the servers

Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work

- 2 Interleaved Two-Phase
- 3 Pipelined Two-Phase
 - Design
 - Evaluation



	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
Design			

- In Two-Phase, no I/O is performed during the communication phase and vice versa
- Overlap I/O and communication phases with POSIX threads
- Almost all of the communication time can hidden behind the I/O operations and vice versa
 - Except for startup and finalization
 - Can increase the performance by a factor of two





Figure: Pipelined Two-Phase execution

- I/O thread and shuffle thread
 - I/O thread performs data access
 - Shuffle thread exchanges data among peers
- Each thread has a queue of operations
 - Up to four I/O requests can be queued

	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
Evaluation			

- Intel Pentium D cluster
- Four clients and five servers
- HPIO benchmark (version 1.55)
- 1 GiB of data is read from a file
 - Divided into four 256 MiB file domains for each MPI process
- Two-Phase buffer size from 1 MiB to 256 MiB
 - Doubling the buffer size halves the number of iterations

	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase ○○○●○	Conclusion and Future Work
Evaluation			



Figure: Throughput of original and Pipelined Two-Phase protocols

- Larger Two-Phase buffer sizes increase performance
- Pipelined Two-Phase better for every buffer size except the maximum
 - Maximum performance with 8 MiB buffer





Figure: Estimated operation times in the Pipelined Two-Phase

More overlap with more iterations

$$T_{pipe} = \left(rac{N_{itr} - 1}{N_{itr}}
ight) \cdot \max(t_{IO}, t_{com}) + \left(rac{1}{N_{itr}}
ight) \cdot (t_{IO} + t_{com})$$

More overhead with more iterations

Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work

- 2 Interleaved Two-Phase
- 3 Pipelined Two-Phase
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work
 - Conclusion
 - Future Work

	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work
			● 0
Conclusion			

- Two-Phase is a client-side optimization
- The presented modifications promise better performance
 - Up to 30–40% for Interleaved Two-Phase
 - Up to 100% for Pipelined Two-Phase
- Interleaved Two-Phase changes I/O pattern
 - Better suited for the striping in parallel distributed file systems
- Pipelined Two-Phase uses POSIX threads to overlap communication and I/O

	Interleaved Two-Phase	Pipelined Two-Phase	Conclusion and Future Work ○●
Future Work			

- Combine Interleaved and Pipelined Two-Phase
 - Due to being developed in different working groups, this has not happened yet
- Pipelined Two-Phase only implements collective reads at the moment
 - Design of the same protocol for write operation has been started
- Improve the logic used to decide whether to use the Two-Phase protocol
 - For example, use information about the underlying I/O subsystem
- Query underlying file system for information about optimal access patterns