Towards Performance Portability for Atmospheric and Climate Models with the GGDML DSL

Nabeeh Jum'ah1, Julian KunFel, Zängl Günther3, Hisashi Yashiro4, Thomas Dubos5, and Yann Meurdesoif6

1 Universität Hamburg | jumah@informatik.uni-hamburg.de | 2 Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum | kunkel@dkrz.de | 3 Deutscher Wetterdienst | 4 RIKEN Advanced Institute for Computational Science | 5 École Polytechnique | 6 Laboratoire des sciences du climat et de l'environnement

ABSTRACT
Demand for high-performance computing is increasing in atmospheric and climate sciences, and in natural sciences in general. Unfortunately, automatic optimizations done by compilers are not enough to make use of target machines’ capabilities. Manual code adjustments are mandatory to exploit hardware capabilities. However, optimizing for one architecture may degrade performance for other architectures. This loss of portability is a challenge. The contributions of this paper are:
• Introduction of a DSL for icosahedral models
• Evaluation of code-reduction opportunity

In this paper, we focus on code quality and benefit from the developer perspective (not on performance).

GOALS
Achieve high performance, portability and maintainability through a DSL and a lightweight compilation infrastructure fostering separation of concerns:
• Scientists from domain science provide the logic of the software to solve the problem. They don’t need to provide any optimization details.
• The configuration details related to platform-dependent implementation are provided by scientific programmers. They provide the compilation tool the needed configuration to generate architecture-optimized code.

APPROACH
We started with the code of the three models, DYNAMICO, ICON, and NICAM.
We adopted co-design approach that included domain scientists, each of whom is experienced with one of the models.
We identified the most computationally-intensive parts of the code as they are the critical pieces and hence the key to achieve performance.
An abstraction has been extracted by recognizing the domain concepts and operations in these compute-intensive code parts. During this process, we tried to identify commonalities in the three models and create a representation that expresses all three models. Technical requirements for performance were considered during this abstraction process.
We rewrote the codes from the models accordingly to the suggestions.
We discussed with scientists, who are experts with those models, the abstraction levels and code examples.

DESIGN OVERVIEW
We introduce GGDML (General Grid Definition and Manipulation Language) and examine the approach for tesselated-grid based climate & atmospheric models. GGDML is a domain-specific language (DSL) that fosters separation of concerns between domain scientists and computer scientists.
Our DSL extends Fortran language with concepts from domain science, apart from any technical descriptions such as hardware-based optimization.
The main concept is based on grids, and offers ways to define and manipulate grids and grid-bound variables.
Fortran code extended with novel semantics from GGDML goes through the meta-DSL based compilation procedure. This generates high performance code – aware of hardware features, based on provided configurations.

SOURCE-TO-SOURCE TRANSLATION
A lightweight translation tool—that ships with code repositories and integrates into build systems—translates model code that uses GGDML extensions into a target-architecture-optimized code (or intermediate language).

LANGUAGE EXTENSIONS
Offer abstract grid concepts (e.g. cell, edge, vertex) especially for icosahedral models:
• Embedded into a general-purpose language
• Definition of grids
– Various shapes, e.g., triangular, hexagonal

RESULTS
To evaluate the DSL, we took two relevant kernels from each of the three models, and analyzed the achieved code reduction. An overview of the results is shown in the table and figure below. The numbers demonstrate the impact on code length when porting code to GGDML.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model, kernel</th>
<th>lines (LOC) before DSL</th>
<th>lines (LOC) with DSL</th>
<th>reduction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICON 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICON 2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICAM 1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICAM 2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYNAMICO1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYNAMICO2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In average, we cut down the LOC to less than one third (30%) of the original code. Better reductions are achieved in stencil codes (NICAM example No.2, reduced to 12.22% of the original LOC).
Influence on readability and maintainability: Reducing the important code metrics like code duplication, WTF/Minute – in code review, in some cases, boundary conditions could be removed thus reducing the cyclomatic complexity.
Code reduction reduces development costs. By applying COCOMO, we estimate the benefit to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software project</th>
<th>DSL?</th>
<th>Effort Applied</th>
<th>Dev. Time (months)</th>
<th>People required</th>
<th>dev. costs (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semi-detached</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>with</td>
<td>1295</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FUTURE WORK
• We introduce GGDML, a Fortran language extension to improve readability and performance portability.
• We adopt collaborative bottom-up development of GGDML with re-engineered concepts top-down to provide a consistent perspective from a domain scientists’ viewpoint.
• Hardware-related information are eliminated from a code written with GGDML.
• GGDML reduces code size significantly and conforms to presumed requirements.
• We introduce also meta-compilation with a source-to-source translation tool.
• Compilation configurations guide the tool to generate machine-dependent optimizations such as memory layout.
• Specialized scientific programmers provide translation configurations to get performance.
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CODE EXAMPLE
The following example demonstrates the use of GGDML in the DYNAMICO models.
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